	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Adv. Case No. 08-01789-smb
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, LLC,
7	Debtor.
8	x
9	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,
10	Plaintiff-Applicant,
11	v.
12	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, LLC,
13	Defendant.
14	x
15	
16	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
17	One Bowling Green
18	New York, NY 10004
19	
20	April 16, 2015
21	10:07 AM
22	
23	BEFORE:
24	HON STUART M. BERNSTEIN
25	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

	Page 2
1	Hearing re: Omnibus Interim Fee Applications
2	
3	Hearing re: Seventeenth Application of Trustee and Baker &
4	Hostetler LLP for Allowance of Interim Compensation
5	
6	Hearing re: Application of Schiltz & Schiltz as Special
7	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation
8	
9	Hearing re: Application of Higgs & Johnson (formerly Higgs
10	Johnson Truman Bodden & Co.) as Special Counsel to the
11	Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation
12	
13	Hearing re: Application of Soroker - Agmon as Special
14	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation
15	
16	Hearing re: Application of Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsanwalte
17	GmbH as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of
18	Interim Compensation
19	
20	Hearing re: Application of SCA Creque as Special Counsel to
21	the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation
22	
23	Hearing re: Application of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor,
24	LLP as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of
25	Interim Compensation

Page 3 1 Hearing re: Application of Williams, Barristers & Attorneys 2 as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim 3 Compensation. 4 5 Hearing re: Application of Taylor Wessing as Special 6 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 7 8 Hearing re: Sixteenth Application of Windels Marx Lane & 9 Mittendorf, LLP for Allowance of Interim Compensation 10 11 Hearing re: Application of UGGC & Associates as Special 12 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 13 Hearing re: Application of Triay Stagnetto Neish as Special 14 15 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 16 17 Hearing re: Application of Werder Vigano as Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 18 19 20 Hearing re: Application of Browne Jacobson, LLP as Special 21 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 22 Hearing re: Application of Eugene F. Collins as Special 23 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 24 25

Page 4 1 Hearing re: Application of Kelley, Wolter & Scott, P.A. as 2 Special Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim 3 Compensation 4 Hearing re: Application of Cochran Allan as Special Counsel 5 6 to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 7 8 Hearing re: Application of Spizz Cohen & Serchuk as Special 9 Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim Compensation 10 11 Hearing re: Motion to Approve R. 9019 Settlement Agreement 12 Between Trustee and Defendants 13 14 Hearing re: Motion to Extend Time to Elect to Assume or 15 Reject Lease of Non Residential Real Property 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	1 9 3 01 30
	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
4	156 West 56th Street
5	New York, NY 10019
6	
7	BY: ALAN NISSELSON
8	
9	BAKER HOSTETLER
10	Attorneys for the Trustee
11	45 Rockefeller Plaza
12	New York, NY 10111
13	
14	BY: DAVID J. SHEEHAN
15	KEITH R. MURPHY
16	
17	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION
18	805 15th Street, N.W.
19	Suite 800
20	Washington, D.C. 20005
21	
22	BY: KEVIN BELL
23	
24	SEWARD & KISSEL LLP
25	Attorney for Reliance International Research LLC

		1 g 0 01 30	
			Page 6
1		One Battery Park Plaza	
2		New York, NY 10004	
3			
4	BY:	MICHAEL B. WEITMAN	
5			
6	ALSO	PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:	
7	KENT	COLLIER	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 7 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning. Madoff. 3 4 MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 MR. MURPHY: Keith Murphy, Baker Hostetler for the 7 trustee. Your Honor, this morning we're here on the trustee's application pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 919 and 8 9 Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code seeking approval of a 10 settlement between the trustee on the one hand and Defender 11 Limited, Reliance Management (BVI) Limited, Reliance 12 Management (Gibraltar) Limited, Reliance International 13 Research, LLC, Tim Brockmann, and Justin Lowe on the other 14 hands. 15 Your Honor, Defender was a Madoff feeder fund. 16 invested all of its assets with BLMIS. It opened an account 17 with BLMIS in May 2007. In between that date and the 18 collapse of BLMIS in 2008 of December, Defender withdrew \$93 19 million from their account. Based on the trustee's 20 investigation he brought the instant adversary proceeding 21 against the defendant seeking to avoid and recover those 22 transfers. The complaint also included claims against some of 23 24 the defendants alleging that they had received subsequent 25 transfers of the original transfers to defendant.

Pq 8 of 38 Page 8 1 THE COURT: Those there is only one initial 2 transferee in this case? 3 MR. MURPHY: Correct. The trustee also sought in his complaint to disallow the customer claim filed by 4 5 Defender to also equitably subordinate that claim. The 6 defendants disputed any liability with respect to the BLMIS 7 estate for the transfers and with respect to its litigation against the defendants, Your Honor, the trustee has examined 8 9 information, including that obtained through BLMIS records, 10 Rule 2004 discovery and through deposition testimony of 11 multiple people. THE COURT: How does the defendant have the 12 13 customer claim (indiscernible) fraudulent transfers? 14 MR. MURPHY: This particular customer actually as 15 principal --16 THE COURT: Oh, it's a bad faith case? 17 MR. MURPHY: It's a bad faith case, yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MURPHY: After the complaint, Your Honor, 19 certain of the defendants filed a motion to dismiss 20 21 challenging personal jurisdiction. That is still open. Ιt 22 hasn't been heard yet, but obviously it will not be necessary anymore after this. The parties agreed to pursue 23

mediation in this case. We engaged former Judge Conrad to

be our mediator and SIPC, Securities Investor Protection

24

Corporation, also took a very active role in this mediation during the process.

We mediated over several days in January 2015. We did not reach a resolution during that time, but the parties actually continued from January through March discussing and negotiating. We did finally reach the settlement on March 26th I believe.

Your Honor, the principal terms of the agreement are that at the closing, Defender is going to pay to the trustee the full amount of the transfers, \$93 million. Upon the closing the Defender customer claim is going to be deemed allowed and equal in priority with other allowed claims. In addition to their allowed claim, we're going to give them 88 percent of the settlement payment as well on top of that allowed claim.

THE COURT: As a customer claim or a general claim?

MR. MURPHY: As a customer claim. There are mutual releases in the settlement agreement, Your Honor, and several of the defendants are also agreeing to continue with discovery with us with respect to a different adversary proceeding, which is still going on with the trustee.

Your Honor, we submit that the settlement falls well within the range of reasonableness. The agreement resolves all claims that are raised in the adversary

proceeding and would certainly avoid what would be a very contentious litigation with respect to the trustee's claims. The settlement is going to bring almost \$100 million into the estate and it will benefit the fund of customer property and this closure of this case will actually result in the adversary proceeding being fully resolved. I would note that there were no objections that were filed. My colleague, John Pintarelli, who represents Defender, is delayed on the subway. He would be here -- may be in here momentarily.

THE COURT: He'd better be quick I guess.

MR. MURPHY: I think so. So, we request approval of the agreement, Your Honor, unless you have any further questions for us.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone that wants to be heard? Yes.

MR. BELL: Your Honor, Kevin Bell on behalf of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation. SIPC supports the settlement which results in a payment and clarity on Defender's claim. The payment will result in increasing the allowed customer claims above the \$14 billion range and will have a material effect on the motion the trustee filed once it closes -- the trustee filed regarding the allocation which will -- which is made -- the Court may have noted will result in about \$0.56 on the dollar having been paid in this

liquidation proceeding.

THE COURT: Is that using the time damage funds that have been segregated to make a distribution?

MR. BELL: Yeah. As the motion said yesterday, these categories are deemed determined, which Defender was a very large sum on a deemed determined claim. Defender will now move into the allowed claim. That amount segregated out in yesterday's motion will be reduced accordingly to allow more payments which will probably put it above \$0.56 and SIPC finds that this is an extremely well though, extremely beneficial settlement and will result in a tremendous benefit to the allowed customers who haven't been fully paid as well as to the Defender claimants and SIPC supports and encourages the Court to approve.

All right, I'll approve the settlement. The only question with a settlement like this is whether the settling parties paying the full amount that's owed gets a 502(h) claim because it's a fraudulent transfer claim. Whether it's a claim against I guess the customer estate or against the general estate, but those are certain difficult questions and the settlement falls well within the lowest point within the range of reasonableness so it's approved. You can submit an order approving the settlement and a separate order closing the adversary proceeding.

MR. MURPHY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning, Your Honor. David Sheehan, Baker Hostetler on behalf of the trustee.

This is the return date of the 17th application by the trustee and Baker Hostetler for fees and expenses in connection with the Madoff liquidation. In addition, we've also brought an application with regard to all the counsel who work with us throughout the world with regard to this case and they are on for hearing today.

I should note at the outset two things. One, there are no objections that were filed with regard to any of these applications and secondly, a small administrative detail, but one that should be noted, is that there was a refund during the course of the period of about -- well, it's not about -- it's actually \$12,597.96 with regard to expenses that had been -- that we were seeking payment for here in connection with Browne Jacobson. With that refund having occurred recently we no longer need that so I wanted to -- since it's going to reduce the amount being paid to them by \$12,597.96, I thought we should certainly put it on the record since the order will reflect that reduction and on top of that that, you know, by putting it on the record here everyone has notice of it. But I didn't think I had to go through a renoticing, Your Honor, since it was just

reducing the amount being paid, and a rather insignificant amount given the overall application to the Court.

THE COURT: Yes. I agree.

MR. SHEEHAN: In summary, Your Honor, just a couple of things to report upon with regard to the time period in question here. I'd like to focus today on something that was reflected by the Defender application a moment ago.

As Your Honor knows, we've broken these cases down into different categories and in those categories we've triaged them even further. For example, in good faith we've broken them down into two-year only claims, two-year claims, whether six-year a piece or hybrid claim and then secure only claims. And up until December when the Second Circuit decided the 546(e) opinion, we were being very successful in settling a lot of the good faith cases at the clip of about \$10 to \$15 million a month with a real surge in December driven by year-end tax considerations so that overall almost \$200 million was collected during the -- not just during the reporting period, but for all of last year.

But I want to emphasize that there's been a steady stream of two to three to four mediations a week given how many cases we do have. I'm mindful of Your Honor's admonition the last time we were together on a fee application that, you know, we have a lot of these cases and

1 we're going to try to get rid of some and obviously we are. 2 We have a lot more clarity, even though we are seeking a 3 cert petition. THE COURT: What's happening with that? 4 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Today -- we have filed our petition. 6 Today there are six amici that we'll be filing 7 (indiscernible) ranging from a Yale law professor and his 8 colleagues, on our side the National Association of 9 Bankruptcy Trustees, a feeder fund representative and a 10 number of amici have joined us in our petition and then 11 there will obviously be responsive briefs and we'll see what 12 the Court says. It might very well be that they ask the 13 solicitor general their position on this. They did the last 14 time we were up there on net equity so that may happen. 15 THE COURT: How many of the -- I know you have 16 other claims in these complaints, but with respect to the 17 avoidance claims, how many of the claims -- cases are these 18 two-year good faith cases or good faith cases which may only 19 be two-year good faith cases? 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Bell is very much better with me 21 on statistics, but he might even know, but it's several 22 hundred. It's not -- out of all those. It's not like 23 thousands. The majority of them are the hybrid claims, the 24 two and six-year components. 25 What we've been doing in those though, we've been

is that we ask for a portion of the six-year. There's still a risk. We'd like to think a significant risk. We'd like to think that we're right. Of course we do. But the point is that we have had people paying anywhere from 10 to 30 percent of the six-year amount just for finality, just to put it behind them and settle the case.

THE COURT: If you couple it with an allowance of their claim they're basically not going out of pocket.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, in this situation most often there's not a claim. It's a fictitious profits claim where they've been overpaid and they have no claim other than the last statement which has been obviously put aside by the net equity decision.

I wanted just for Your Honor to know that and that's not just happening in good faith cases, but, as Your Honor knows because you had a hearing on Herald and Primeo, those both came about as a result of the hard work of Peter Horowitz and other mediators have worked on various cases. They've all done a very fine job and we've had some real successes again, as I say, Defender this morning, all not during the reporting period, but very actively in the reporting period.

Certainly Herald and Primeo a lot of the work did occur during the reporting period then culminating in the

settlement in the next quarter. So all that work is going on as well as substantial litigation, much of which appears before Your Honor so you're very familiar with that.

July 6th came down the opinion during the reporting period by Judge Rakoff with regard to extraterritoriality. There has been significant work on all those cases, many appearances before Your Honor, a pending motion that everyone's working on right now. All of that started during the reporting period and is culminating hopefully by the end of June with a filing with Your Honor.

So, the firm has been very, very busy in that regard as well as just ongoing cases, whether it be the Merkin case, the Kingate case and the other cases that are basically at the motion to dismiss stage or past that and now into discovery and being prepared for trial. So a lot of that work also took place during the reporting period.

With regard to our colleagues at Windels Marx, I know they appear before Your Honor in connection with this matter on a regular basis. Throughout their services have been exemplary and extraordinarily helpful to the trustee. We've gotten significantly good results. The Blumenthal settlement, which Your Honor approved at the end of last year, was as a result of the efforts of that firm, bringing substantial dollars to the estate. And our other counsel, Young Conaway, etcetera. All those people have been very,

very helpful to us in making all this happen.

With regard to the foreign there's many applications and I've gone through some of those in the past. Today I thought I'd just highlight two that I think are principally the bulk of the hours. Over 4,000 hours was spent by Browne Jacobson and it's on such a variety of different things I just thought I'd give Your Honor something of an insight there.

As I think I've explained before when we first started the case during that first two-year period when we were facing the statute, we wanted to be sure that we filed protective actions just in case because we knew there would be issues like extraterritoriality and issues like personal jurisdiction. So we have protective actions, as I've advised Your Honor before, in many, many jurisdictions. Many of them are in the islands, the Caymans, BVI and Bermuda, which still operates under the common wealth system and therefore the counseling that we get from Browne Jacobson is not only in the U.K., where we have many things pending as well, for example Kingate there's a protective action there, we spent a good deal of time after July 6 working on the Kingate bill of particulars, as it's called in London as opposed to a complaint, reviewing that in connection with Judge Rakoff's decision of what the implications might be there, etcetera, in terms of comity

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and other issues and things that we might have to address.

Browne Jacobson was invaluable obviously in that type of endeavor.

The same thing was true with regards to the complainants in Cayman, in BVI and Bermuda. As Your Honor well knows, because we're here, we intend to litigate all those cases here. We think Your Honor has jurisdiction. We don't think there's an extraterritorial effect so that's where we are. But at the same time we have to be active in those cases. One of them, Primeo, which we did settle, got so active that we were in the appellate panel in terms of overall jurisdiction in the courts in the Caymans. Browne Jacobson assisted us in that appeal.

Vizcaya is one that's been around for quite some time. We got a default a long time ago and, again, the development occurred in England. There was this case that was there, the Ruben case and everyone thought that this was a big breakthrough for default judgments. So much so that one of the parties wanted to vacate their default to give themselves a day in court here and deposit \$61 million. As Your Honor might suspect, they're sort of reconsidering their position based on the July 6th decision of Judge Rakoff on extraterritoriality. They're seeing that as a different set of facts. That is another case that probably will end up in mediation at this point with an American

mediator, but with the mediation taking place probably in the United Kingdom to accommodate all the counsel that are representing the defendants in Vizcaya.

We want to resolve that if we can because, you know, there's very difficult issues there and we have \$61 million here. If we can settle utilizing those funds I think we'll have a much better outcome. Again, Browne Jacobson very involved in assisting us in that effort. That's just a sampling of what we do with them on a regular basis that results in the significant hours that they've had during the reporting period.

On top of that there's a lot of time, over 500 hours, for our Bermuda counsel and that emanates from the fact that Kingate has an active ongoing litigation there, the funds that we've sued that Quinn Emanuel represents here in the United States and they have other counsel in Bermuda and they are suing Kingate Management Limited, which was the administrative arm created for it, as well as the trust funds and the other defendants we have in our case under their own cause of action.

Through good counseling, etcetera, we have our own action there, but we've never consolidated. It makes more sense and I could go through all the machinations of why, but our cause of action, to be blunt, wouldn't be as favored as the locally appointed liquidator so we're sort of

trailing it to see what happens. There is \$300 million of their money in BVI. That's actually where it was incorporated, but the liquidation is in Bermuda because that's where KML was incorporated. So, we're chasing that \$300 million and we have an injunction all that.

All of this work is being done by the barristers with us and solicitors in Bermuda where the main action is. So, while we're actively litigating here, we're not participating in that litigation, but we clearly have to stay on top of what's occurring there. It's very difficult to get access, for example, to discovery. As Your Honor probably well knows, unless a document becomes public in a forum in the U.K. that document is not available. It just stays between the parties. So, we actively monitor those cases and try to get the documents that we can get available to us, etcetera, since we're still actually in the beginning stages of litigation in Kingate.

out of many that represent us. The work done by the other firms is very similar, not as active obviously because of what's been occurring since July 6th. But those cases -- and as a matter of fact I should report that in many of those cases those cases have slowed down because we're sort of standing and waiting and seeing where we're going, etcetera. They're not done there. There is monies on

deposit, for example, in Lichtenstein that we're watching and things like that, but we're not actively pushing them so the time you saw during this time period went down.

Overall, as Mr. Bell pointed out, he stole my thunder a little bit talking about this, but what is the ultimate goal of all of this? Obviously it's collecting money to return to the customers and we so far, you know, not during the reporting period, but through today that collected \$10.6 billion, \$900 million plus, about \$909-\$910, is going to be distributed as a result of the application we filed yesterday which gets us, as Mr. Bell pointed out, close to 56 percent.

I think the efforts of all of these counsel across the globe and the efforts of obviously the trustee and Mr. Nisselson and his firm, etcetera, get us those results because, as somebody pointed out -- one of the wags that likes to talk about this case -- is you know they've never tried anything. Well, that's true. We actually haven't ultimately tried a case yet. But what does it tell you that people are settling with us? Not just the strength of the code, somewhat battered by some of the decisions that we've encountered here, but the code is so strong, but also that we've put together great cases with great lawyers and people see that we're the real deal and if you really are going to go forward we're actually going to try this case and, as

Your Honor knows, there's always a risk. There's never a guarantee of winning and I think, you know, they see that and that's what results in these settlements. And we're going to continue to do that. As many cases we can settle we will, but it takes two people to do that and we try our best to make that happen.

So, based on all that, Your Honor, I'd ask that in light of no objections and the submissions that were made to Your Honor we request that Your Honor approve our applications here this morning.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.

MR. BELL: Kevin Bell on behalf of SIPC. Your Honor, now that we have seen the barrier of \$0.50 on the dollar passed or soon to be passed, the sunshine is a little brighter, but --

THE COURT: It may be the time of year.

MR. BELL: It's sunny today. But I think it's also the effort of all these counselors, as Mr. Sheehan has pointed out. As I have told the Court in the past, SIPC reviews each and every time entry. SIPC is involved with its oversight on this case. Every one of the applications before the Court has gone through extensive reviews. We are fully aware of the standard set forth in SIPA Section 78eee(b)(5)(C) in this type of case where there's really no

reasonable expectation at this minute, no matter how hopeful I am, that in the end there will be that the monies that SIPC has advanced or that there will be money for the general estate in SIPC's advancement, the trustee who will be filing his interim report soon will show that SIPC has advanced over \$1 billion for administrative expenses in this case, which will be the first priority payment out of any general estate that's recovered by the trustee so we're aware of all the responsibilities and we do hold the responsibility that the law gives us in great respect.

So we do an extensive review and if you look at our recommendation at paragraph three of the Windels' recommendation or paragraph five of the Baker recommendation, you will see a delineation of the reductions that have occurred and you can assume that in each and every application similar reductions of 10 percent of the normal rate have occurred and that each and every time entry with which we have a question there has been a discussion over the period of time that these applications pertain and that has happened from day one of this liquidation proceeding because of SIPC's oversight.

We are very comfortable. The corporation is very comfortable with regard to its recommendations here to the Court as explained in the prior presentation by Mr. Murphy and Mr. Sheehan's presentation of where this case is going

and, as I noted earlier with regard to the trustee's motion yesterday with regard to the allocation that is set for hearing on May 29th, I do expect if an order is granted at that time that sometime in mid-June we will be able to trumpet that we have exceeded \$0.56 and, not to steal too much of Mr. Sheehan's thunder, there are very active settlement negotiations and 9019s being worked on to raise that number higher. That will be presented to the Court sometime within the next two months.

so, this case is hot, it's active, it's well fought and everybody who is involved, whether on the trustee side or the other side, is very conscientious in doing this situation because there are no winners in this case because it is now in its seventh year. We have customers who have not received their payments. As yesterday's motion said, a customer who had \$1.125 million allowable claim has been fully satisfied. The number of customer who have not been fully paid, if the Court grants that motion, will sink below 1,000 and a large percentage of the monies that are owed are due to feeder funds similar to Defender because you look at the application and you see the number of deemed determines are quite large and those are matters that are in discussion and have been in discussion with very learned counsel on the other side.

Hopefully at some point in time everybody sees the

light and, as Mr. Sheehan has pointed out, we are in the Supreme Court. SIPC has filed its own petition in the Supreme Court with regard to it. We are hopeful when the responses are filed on or about May 16th and we file our reply that we will have a decision by the Court by the end of this term on June 25th, last conference date. But we will -- and if they ask the solicitor general that may kick it for six months or so based on past understandings in the last petitioning that was up there. So, just to sum up, SIPC supports strongly these applications to the Court. We find that the services performed are of the highest caliber. SIPC's oversight has been some would say penurious, but we respect our responsibility and we ask the Court to issue an order that will grant these applications that are before the Court. Thank you Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Does anyone else want to be heard in connection with any of the applications? The record should reflect there's no response. The applications

will be approved I guess subject to that reduction for Browne --

MR. BELL: For Browne Jacobson of the \$12,000 plus.

SIPC has certified based on THE COURT: representations to SIPC that there is no reasonable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 26 expectation that there'll be enough money I guess in the 1 2 general estate to pay the administrative expenses so SIPC 3 will pay this amount and I'm inclined to accept its recommendation regarding the fees since they don't deviate 4 5 from what the professionals are seeking. So you can submit 6 an order. 7 MR. MURPHY: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10 10:37 AM) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	Pg 27 of 38		
			Page 27
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5	Motion to Approve R. 9019 Settlement	11	20
6	Agreement Between Trustee and Defendants		
7	Granted		
8	Omnibus Interim Fee Applications granted	25	23
9	Seventeenth Application of Trustee and Baker	25	23
10	& Hostetler LLP for Allowance of Interim		
11	Compensation granted		
12	Application of Schiltz & Schiltz as Special	25	23
13	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of		
14	Interim Compensation granted		
15	Application of Higgs & Johnson (formerly	25	23
16	Higgs Johnson Truman Bodden & Co.) as		
17	Special Counsel to the Trustee for		
18	Allowance of Interim Compensation granted		
19	Application of Soroker - Agmon as Special	25	23
20	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance of		
21	Interim Compensation granted		
22	Application of Graf & Pitkowitz	25	23
23	Rechtsanwalte GmbH as Special Counsel		
24	to the Trustee for Allowance of Interim		
25	Compensation granted		

	. g == 0. 00	Page 2	28
1	Application of SCA Creque as Special	25	23
2	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance		
3	of Interim Compensation granted		
4	Application of Young Conaway Stargatt	25	23
5	& Taylor, LLP as Special Counsel to		
6	the Trustee for Allowance of Interim		
7	Compensation granted		
8	Application of Williams, Barristers &	25	23
9	Attorneys as Special Counsel to the		
10	Trustee for Allowance of Interim		
11	Compensation granted		
12	Application of Taylor Wessing as Special	25	23
13	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance		
14	of Interim Compensation granted		
15	Sixteenth Application of Windels Marx	25	23
16	Lane & Mittendorf, LLP for Allowance		
17	of Interim Compensation granted		
18	Application of UGGC & Associates as	25	23
19	Special Counsel to the Trustee for		
20	Allowance of Interim Compensation granted		
21	Application of Triay Stagnetto Neish	25	23
22	as Special Counsel to the Trustee for		
23	Allowance of Interim Compensation granted		
24	Application of Werder Vigano as Special	25	23
25	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance		
25	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance		

	Py 29 01 38		
		Page	29
1	of Interim Compensation granted		
2	Application of Browne Jacobson, LLP	25	23
3	as Special Counsel to the Trustee		
4	for Allowance of Interim Compensation		
5	granted		
6	Application of Eugene F. Collins as	25	23
7	Special Counsel to the Trustee for		
8	Allowance of Interim Compensation granted		
9	Application of Kelley, Wolter & Scott,	25	23
10	P.A. as Special Counsel to the Trustee		
11	for Allowance of Interim Compensation		
12	granted		
13	Application of Cochran Allan as Special	25	23
14	Counsel to the Trustee for Allowance		
15	of Interim Compensation granted		
16	Application of Spizz Cohen & Serchuk	25	23
17	as Special Counsel to the Trustee		
18	for Allowance of Interim Compensation		
19	granted		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			l.

```
Page 30
 1
                             CERTIFICATION
 2
 3
       I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing
 4
       transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
 5
                              Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski
        Sonya
                              Hyde
 6
                              DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou,
        Ledanski Hyde email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2015.04.17 12:57:28 -04'00'
 7
 8
       Sonya Ledanski Hyde
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
       Veritext Legal Solutions
20
21
       330 Old Country Road
22
       Suite 300
23
       Mineola, NY 11501
24
25
       Date: April 17, 2015
```

[& - association] Page 1

&	28:15,18,21,24 29:2	a	29:18
& 2:3,6,9,10,16,23	29:6,9,13,16	able 24:4	allowed 9:12,12,13
3:1,8,11 4:1,8 5:3	25 27:8,9,12,15,19	accept 26:3	9:15 10:21 11:7,12
5:24 27:10,12,15,16	27:22 28:1,4,8,12	access 20:11	american 18:25
27:22 28:5,8,16,18	28:15,18,21,24 29:2	accommodate 19:2	amici 14:6,10
29:9,16	29:6,9,13,16	account 7:16,19	amount 9:10 11:7
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	25th 25:6	accurate 30:4	11:18 12:20 13:1,2
0	26th 9:7	action 17:21 19:20	15:6 26:3
0.50 22:14	29th 24:3	19:22,24 20:7	anybody 11:15
0.56 10:25 11:9 24:5	3	actions 17:12,14	anymore 8:23
08-01789 1:3	30 15:5	active 9:1 18:9,11	appeal 18:13
1	300 20:1,5 30:22	19:14 20:20 24:6,10	appear 16:18
1 23:6	330 30:21	actively 15:22 20:8	appearances 16:7
1,000 24:19		20:14 21:2	appears 16:2
1.125 24:16	4	addition 9:13 12:7	appellate 18:11
10 13:17 15:5 23:16	4,000 17:5	address 18:1	applicant 1:10
10.6 21:9	45 5:11	administrative	application 2:3,6,9
100 10:3	5	12:13 19:18 23:6	2:13,16,20,23 3:1,5
10004 1:18 6:2	5 22:25	26:2	3:8,11,14,17,20,23
10019 5:5	500 19:12	admonition 13:24	4:1,5,8 7:8 12:5,8
10111 5:12	502 11:18	admonition 13.24 adv 1:3	13:2,7,25 21:10
105 7:9	546 13:15	advanced 23:3,6	23:16 24:21 27:9,12
10:07 1:21	56 21:12	advancement 23:4	27:15,19,22 28:1,4
10:37 26:10	56th 5:4	adversary 7:20 9:21	28:8,12,15,18,21,24
11 27:5		9:25 10:6 11:25	29:2,6,9,13,16
11501 30:23	6	advised 17:15	applications 2:1
12,000 25:22	6 17:21	agmon 2:13 27:19	12:13 17:3 22:10,22
12,597.96 12:16,21	61 18:20 19:5	ago 13:8 18:15	23:19 25:11,15,18
14 10:21	6th 16:4 18:22	agree 13:3	25:19 27:8
15 13:17	20:21	agreed 8:23	appointed 19:25
156 5:4	7	agreeing 9:20	approaching 15:1
15th 5:18	78eee 22:25	agreement 4:11 9:8	approval 7:9 10:12
16 1:20	8	9:19,24 10:13 27:6	approve 4:11 11:14
16th 25:4		alan 5:7	11:16 22:9 27:5
17 30:25	800 5:19	allan 4:5 29:13	approved 11:23
17th 12:5	805 5:18	alleging 7:24	16:22 25:20
2	88 9:14	allocation 10:23	approving 11:24
_	9	24:2	april 1:20 30:25
20 27:5	900 21:9	allow 11:8	arm 19:18
200 13:19	9019 4:11 27:5	allowable 24:16	aside 15:13
20005 5:20	9019s 24:7	allowance 2:4,7,11	assets 7:16
2004 8:10	909 21:9	2:14,17,21,24 3:2,6	assisted 18:13
2007 7:17	910 21:9	3:9,12,15,18,21,24	assisting 19:8
2008 7:18	919 7:8		associates 3:11
2015 1:20 9:3 30:25	93 7:18 9:10	4:2,6,9 15:8 27:10	28:18
23 27:8,9,12,15,19		27:13,18,20,24 28:2	association 14:8
27:22 28:1,4,8,12		28:6,10,13,16,20,23	
		28:25 29:4,8,11,14	

[assume - court] Page 2

4.14.22.15	hl:- 7.16 17 10	4-3 0.20 11.21	1-i4 7.02 0.4
assume 4:14 23:15	blmis 7:16,17,18	certain 8:20 11:21	complaint 7:23 8:4
attorney 5:25	8:6,9	certainly 10:1 12:21	8:19 17:23
attorneys 3:1 5:10	blumenthal 16:21	15:24	complaints 14:16
28:9	blunt 19:24	certified 25:24 30:3	components 14:24
available 20:13,15	bodden 2:10 27:16	challenging 8:21	conaway 2:23 16:25
avoid 7:21 10:1	bowling 1:17	chasing 20:4	28:4
avoidance 14:17	breakthrough	circuit 13:14	concluded 26:9
aware 22:24 23:9	18:18	claim 8:4,5,13 9:11	conference 25:6
b	briefs 14:11	9:13,15,16,17,18	connection 12:7,18
b 1:23 6:4 22:25	brighter 22:16	10:20 11:6,7,18,19	16:18 17:24 25:18
bad 8:16,17	bring 10:3	11:20 13:13 15:9,11	conrad 8:24
baker 2:3 5:9 7:6	bringing 16:23	15:11,12 24:16	conscientious 24:12
12:4,6 23:13 27:9	brockmann 7:13	claimants 11:13	considerations
bankruptcy 1:1,16	broken 13:9,12	claims 7:23 9:13,25	13:18
1:25 7:8,9 14:9	brought 7:20 12:8	10:2,21 13:12,12,14	consolidated 19:22
barrier 22:14	browne 3:20 12:18	14:16,17,17,23	contentious 10:2
barristers 3:1 20:6	17:6,18 18:2,12	clarity 10:19 14:2	continue 9:20 22:4
28:8	19:7 25:21,22 29:2	clearly 20:9	continued 9:5
based 7:19 18:22	bulk 17:5	clip 13:16	corporation 1:9
22:7 25:8,24	busy 16:11	close 21:12	5:17 9:1 10:18
basically 15:9 16:14	bvi 7:11 17:16 18:5	closes 10:23	23:22
basis 16:19 19:10	20:2	closing 9:9,11 11:25	correct 8:3
battered 21:21	c	closure 10:5	counsel 2:7,10,14
battery 6:1	c 5:1 7:1 22:25 30:1	cochran 4:5 29:13	2:17,20,24 3:2,6,12
beginning 20:16	30:1	code 7:9 21:21,22	3:15,17,21,24 4:2,5
behalf 10:17 12:4	caliber 25:12	cohen 4:8 29:16	4:9 12:8 16:24 19:2
22:13	called 17:22	collapse 7:18	19:13,16 21:13 24:23 27:13,17,20
believe 9:7	case 1:3 8:2,16,17	colleague 10:8 colleagues 14:8	24:23 27:13,17,20 27:23 28:2,5,9,13
bell 5:22 10:17,17	8:24 10:5 12:10	16:17	28:19,22,25 29:3,7
11:4 14:20 21:4,11	15:7 16:13,13 17:10	collected 13:19 21:9	
22:13,13,18 25:22	17:12 18:16,17,24	collecting 21:6	counseling 17:18
beneficial 11:11	19:19 21:17,19,25	collier 6:7	19:21
benefit 10:4 11:12	22:22,25 23:7,25	collins 3:23 29:6	counselors 22:19
bermuda 17:17	24:10,13	comfortable 23:22	country 30:21
18:5 19:13,16 20:3	cases 13:9,16,23,25	23:23	couple 13:5 15:8
20:7	14:17,18,18,19	comity 17:25	course 12:15 15:4
bernard 1:6,12	15:16,19 16:7,12,13	common 17:17	court 1:1,16 7:2,5
bernstein 1:24	18:7,10 20:15,21,23	compensation 2:4,7	8:1,12,16,18 9:16
best 22:6	20:23 21:23 22:4	2:11,14,18,21,25	10:11,15,24 11:2,14
better 10:11 14:20	categories 11:5	3:3,6,9,12,15,18,21	11:15 12:2 13:2,3
19:7	13:10,10	3:24 4:3,6,9 27:11	14:4,12,15 15:8
big 18:18	cause 19:20,24	27:14,18,21,25 28:3	18:20 22:11,17,20
bill 17:22	cayman 18:5	28:7,11,14,17,20,23	22:23 23:24 24:8,18
billion 10:21 21:9	caymans 17:16	29:1,4,8,11,15,18	25:2,3,5,11,14,15
23:6	18:12	complainants 18:5	25:17,24
bit 21:5	cert 14:3	TOTAL TOTAL	

[courts - globe] Page 3

courts 18:12	determines 24:21	estate 8:7 10:4	fees 12:6 26:4
created 19:18	development 18:16	11:20,21 16:24 23:4	
creque 2:20 28:1	deviate 26:4	23:8 26:2	file 25:4
culminating 15:25	different 9:21 13:10	etcetera 16:25	filed 8:4,20 10:7,22
16:9	17:7 18:24	17:25 19:21 20:16	10:23 12:12 14:5
customer 8:4,13,14	difficult 11:21 19:5	20:25 21:15	17:11 21:11 25:2,4
9:11,16,18 10:4,21	20:10	eugene 3:23 29:6	filing 14:6 16:10
11:20 24:16,17	disallow 8:4	everybody 24:11,25	23:5
customers 11:12	discovery 8:10 9:21	everyone's 16:8	finality 15:6
21:7 24:14	16:15 20:11	examined 8:8	finally 9:6
_			find 25:11
d	discussing 9:5	example 13:11	
d 7:1 27:1	discussion 23:18	17:20 20:11 21:1	finds 11:10
d.c. 5:20	24:22,23	exceeded 24:5	fine 15:20
damage 11:2	dismiss 8:20 16:14	exemplary 16:20	firm 16:11,23 21:15
date 7:17 12:5 25:6	disputed 8:6	expect 24:3	firms 20:18,20
30:25	distributed 21:10	expectation 23:1	first 17:9,10 23:7
david 5:14 12:3	distribution 11:3	26:1	five 23:13
day 18:20 23:20	district 1:2	expenses 12:6,17	focus 13:6
days 9:3	document 20:12,13	23:6 26:2	foregoing 30:3
deal 17:21 21:24	documents 20:15	explained 17:9	foreign 17:2
debtor 1:7	doing 14:25 24:12	23:24	former 8:24
december 7:18	dollar 10:25 22:15	extend 4:14	formerly 2:9 27:15
13:14,17	dollars 16:24	extensive 22:23	forth 22:24
decided 13:15	driven 13:18	23:11	forum 20:13
decision 15:14	due 24:20	extraordinarily	forward 21:25
17:24 18:22 25:5	e	16:20	fought 24:11
decisions 21:21	e 1:23,23 5:1,1 7:1,1	extraterritorial	four 13:22
deemed 9:12 11:5,6	13:15 27:1 30:1	18:8	fraudulent 8:13
24:21	earlier 24:1	extraterritoriality	11:19
default 18:15,18,19	effect 10:22 18:8	16:6 17:13 18:23	full 9:10 11:18
defendant 1:13 7:21	effort 19:8 22:19	extremely 11:10,10	4
7:25 8:12	efforts 16:23 21:13	\mathbf{f}	22:24 24:17,18
defendants 4:12	21:14	f 1:23 3:23 29:6	fund 7:15 10:4 14:9
7:24 8:6,8,20 9:20	elect 4:14	30:1	funds 11:2 19:6,15
19:3,19 27:6	emanates 19:13	facing 17:11	19:19 24:20
defender 7:10,15,18	emanuel 19:15	fact 19:14 20:22	further 10:13 13:11
8:5 9:9,11 10:8	emphasize 13:21	facts 18:24	g
11:5,6,13 13:7	encountered 21:22	faith 8:16,17 13:11	g 7:1
15:21 24:20	encourages 11:14	13:16 14:18,18,19	general 9:16 11:21
defender's 10:20	endeavor 18:3	15:16	14:13 23:4,8 25:7
delayed 10:9	engaged 8:24	falls 9:23 11:22	26:2
delineation 23:14	england 18:16	familiar 16:3	gibraltar 7:12
		far 21:7	0
deposit 18:20 21:1	entry 22:21 23:17		give 9:14 17:7 18:19
deposition 8:10	equal 9:12	favored 19:24	given 13:2,22
detail 12:14	equitably 8:5	fee 2:1 13:24 27:8	gives 23:10
determined 11:5,6	equity 14:14 15:14	feeder 7:15 14:9 24:20	globe 21:14
	Varitant Lac		I.

[gmbh - llp] Page 4

gmbh 2:17 27:23	helpful 16:20 17:1	interim 2:1,4,7,11	kelley 4:1 29:9
go 12:25 19:23	herald 15:17,24	2:14,18,21,25 3:2,6	kent 6:7
21:25	higgs 2:9,9 27:15,16	3:9,12,15,18,21,24	kevin 5:22 10:17
goal 21:6	higher 24:8	4:2,6,9 23:5 27:8,10	22:13
going 9:9,11,13,22	highest 25:12	27:14,18,21,24 28:3	kick 25:7
10:3 12:20 14:1	highlight 17:4	28:6,10,14,17,20,23	kingate 16:13 17:20
15:9 16:1 20:24	highlights 20:18	29:1,4,8,11,15,18	17:22 19:14,17
21:10,24,25 22:4	hold 23:9	international 5:25	20:17
23:25	hon 1:24	7:12	kingdom 19:2
good 7:2,4,5 12:3	honor 7:4,7,15 8:8	invaluable 18:2	kissel 5:24
13:11,16 14:18,18	8:19 9:8,19,23	invested 7:16	kml 20:4
14:19 15:16 16:21	10:13,17 12:1,3,25	investigation 7:20	knew 17:12
17:21 19:21	13:4,9 15:15,17	investment 1:6,12	know 12:23 13:25
gotten 16:21	16:3,7,10,18,22	investor 1:9 5:17	14:15,21 15:15
graf 2:16 27:22	17:7,15 18:5,7,21	8:25 10:18	16:18 19:5 21:7,17
grant 25:15	20:11 22:1,7,9,9,14	involved 19:8 22:21	22:2
granted 24:3 27:7,8	25:16 26:7,8	24:11	knows 13:9 15:17
27:11,14,18,21,25	honor's 13:23	islands 17:16	18:6 20:12 22:1
28:3,7,11,14,17,20	hopeful 23:1 25:3	issue 25:14	1
28:23 29:1,5,8,12	hopefully 16:10	issues 17:13,13 18:1	1 1:6,12
29:15,19	24:25	19:5	lane 3:8 5:3 28:16
grants 24:18	horowitz 15:19	j	large 11:6 24:19,22
great 21:23,23	hostetler 2:4 5:9 7:6	j 5:14	law 14:7 23:10
23:10	12:4,6 27:10	jacobson 3:20 12:18	lawyers 21:23
green 1:17	hot 24:10	17:6,19 18:2,13	learned 24:23
guarantee 22:2	hours 17:5,5 19:10	19:8 25:22 29:2	lease 4:15
guess 10:11 11:20	19:13	january 9:3,5	ledanski 4:25 30:3
25:20 26:1	hundred 14:22	job 15:20	30:8
h	hybrid 13:13 14:23	john 10:8	legal 30:20
h 11:18	hyde 4:25 30:3,8	johnson 2:9,10	liability 8:6
hand 7:10	i	27:15,16	lichtenstein 21:1
hands 7:14	implications 17:25	joined 14:10	light 22:8 25:1
happen 14:14 17:1	inclined 26:3	judge 1:25 8:24	likes 21:17
22:6	included 7:23	16:5 17:24 18:22	limited 7:11,11,12
happened 23:20	including 8:9	judgments 18:18	19:17
happening 14:4	incorporated 20:3,4	july 16:4 17:21	line 27:4
15:16	increasing 10:20	18:22 20:21	liquidation 11:1
happens 20:1	indiscernible 8:13	june 16:10 24:4	12:7 20:3 23:20
hard 15:18	14:7	25:6	liquidator 19:25
heard 8:22 10:16	information 8:9	jurisdiction 8:21	litigate 18:6
11:15 25:18	initial 8:1	17:14 18:7,12	litigating 20:8
hearing 2:1,3,6,9,13	injunction 20:5	jurisdictions 17:15	litigation 8:7 10:2
2:16,20,23 3:1,5,8	insight 17:8	justin 7:13	16:2 19:14 20:9,17
3:11,14,17,20,23	insignificant 13:1		little 21:5 22:15
4:1,5,8,11,14 12:10	instant 7:20	k	llc 1:6,12 5:25 7:13
15:17 24:3	intend 18:6	keith 5:15 7:6	llp 2:4,24 3:9,20 5:3
13.17 47.3	1110110 10.0		5:24 27:10 28:5,16
		1014	3.27 27.10 20.3,10

[llp - position] Page 5

29:2	momentarily 10:10		participating 20:9
locally 19:25	money 20:2 21:7	0	particular 8:14
london 17:23	23:3 26:1	o 1:23 7:1 30:1	particular 8.14 particulars 17:22
		objections 10:7	-
long 18:15	monies 20:25 23:2	12:12 22:8	parties 8:23 9:4
longer 12:19	24:19	obtained 8:9	11:17 18:19 20:14
look 23:11 24:20	monitor 20:14	obviously 8:22 14:1	passed 22:15,15
lot 13:16,25 14:2	month 13:17	14:11 15:13 18:2	pay 9:9 26:2,3
15:24 16:15 19:12	months 24:9 25:8	20:20 21:6,14	paying 11:18 15:5
lowe 7:13	morning 7:2,4,5,7	occur 15:25	payment 9:14 10:19
lowest 11:22	12:3 15:21 22:10	occurred 12:19	10:20 12:17 23:7
m	motion 4:11,14 8:20	18:16 23:15,17	payments 11:9
	10:22 11:4,8 16:8		24:15
m 1:24	16:14 24:1,15,18	occurring 20:10,21	pending 16:7 17:20
machinations 19:23	27:5	oh 8:16	penurious 25:13
madoff 1:6,12 7:3	move 11:7	okay 8:18 12:2	people 8:11 15:5
7:15 12:7	multiple 8:11	old 30:21	16:25 21:20,23 22:5
main 20:7	murphy 5:15 7:4,6	omnibus 2:1 27:8	percent 9:14 15:6
majority 14:23		once 10:22	21:12 23:16
making 17:1	7:6 8:3,14,17,19	ongoing 16:12	
management 7:11	9:18 10:12 12:1	19:14	percentage 24:19
7:12 19:17	23:24 26:7	open 8:21	performed 25:12
march 9:5,6	mutual 9:19	opened 7:16	period 12:15 13:6
marx 3:8 5:3 16:17	n	operates 17:17	13:20 15:22,23,25
28:15	n 5:1 7:1 27:1 30:1	opinion 13:15 16:4	16:5,9,16 17:10
material 10:22	n.w. 5:18	opposed 17:23	19:11 21:3,8 23:19
matter 1:5 16:19	national 14:8	order 11:24,25	personal 8:21 17:13
20:22 23:1		12:22 24:3 25:14	pertain 23:19
	necessary 8:23	26:6	peter 15:18
matters 24:22	need 12:19		petition 14:3,5,10
mediated 9:3	negotiating 9:6	original 7:25	25:2
mediation 8:24 9:1	negotiations 24:7	outcome 19:7	petitioning 25:9
18:25 19:1	neish 3:14 28:21	outset 12:11	piece 13:13
mediations 13:22	net 14:14 15:13	overall 13:2,18	pintarelli 10:8
mediator 8:25 19:1	never 19:22 21:17	18:12 21:4	_ -
mediators 15:19	22:1	overpaid 15:12	pitkowitz 2:16
merkin 16:13	new 1:2,18 5:5,12	oversight 22:22	27:22
michael 6:4	6:2	23:21 25:12	place 16:16 19:1
mid 24:4	nisselson 5:7 21:15	owed 11:18 24:19	plaintiff 1:10
million 7:19 9:10	non 4:15	n	plaza 5:11 6:1
10:3 13:17,19 18:20	normal 23:16	p	please 7:2
19:6 20:1,5 21:9	note 10:6 12:11	p 5:1,1 7:1	plus 21:9 25:23
24:16	noted 10:24 12:14	p.a. 4:1 29:10	pocket 15:9
mindful 13:23	24:1	page 27:4	point 11:22 15:4
mineola 30:23	notice 12:24	paid 10:25 11:12	18:25 24:25
		12:20 13:1 24:18	pointed 21:4,11,16
minute 23:1	number 14:10 24:8	panel 18:11	22:20 25:1
mittendorf 3:9 5:3	24:17,21	paragraph 23:12,13	portion 15:2
28:16	ny 1:18 5:5,12 6:2	park 6:1	position 14:13
moment 13:8	30:23	-	18:22
			10.22
	Varitant I a	gal Solutions	

prepared 16:15	raise 24:7	regular 16:19 19:9	right 10:15 11:16
present 6:6	raised 9:25	reject 4:15	15:4 16:8
presentation 23:24	rakoff 16:5 18:23	releases 9:19	risk 15:3,3 22:1
23:25	rakoff's 17:24	reliance 5:25 7:11	road 30:21
presented 24:8	range 9:24 10:21	7:11,12	rockefeller 5:11
primeo 15:17,24	11:23	renoticing 12:25	role 9:1
18:10	ranging 14:7	reply 25:5	ruben 18:17
principal 8:15 9:8	rate 23:17	report 13:5 20:22	rule 7:8 8:10
principally 17:5	reach 9:4,6	23:5	rulings 27:3
prior 23:24	real 4:15 13:17	reporting 13:20	S
priority 9:12 23:7	15:20 21:24	15:22,23,25 16:5,9	s 5:1 7:1
probably 11:9	really 21:24 22:25	16:16 19:11 21:8	
18:24 19:1 20:12	reasonable 23:1	represent 20:19	sampling 19:9 satisfied 24:17
proceeding 7:20	25:25	representations	
9:22 10:1,6 11:1,25	reasonableness	25:25	saw 21:3
23:20	9:24 11:23	representative 14:9	says 14:12
proceedings 26:9	received 7:24 24:15	representing 19:3	sca 2:20 28:1
30:4	rechtsanwalte 2:16	represents 10:8	schiltz 2:6,6 27:12
process 9:2	27:23	19:15	27:12
professionals 26:5	recommendation	request 10:12 22:9	scott 4:1 29:9
professor 14:7	23:12,13,14 26:4	research 5:25 7:13	seated 7:2
profits 15:11	recommendations	residential 4:15	second 13:14
property 4:15 10:4	23:23	resolution 9:4	secondly 12:13
protection 1:9 5:17	reconsidering	resolve 19:4	section 7:9 22:24
8:25 10:18	18:21	resolved 10:6	secure 13:13
protective 17:12,14	record 12:22,23	resolves 9:25	securities 1:6,9,12 5:17 8:25 10:18
17:20	25:19 30:4	respect 8:6,7 9:21	
public 20:12	records 8:9	10:2 14:16 23:10	see 14:11 20:1 21:24 22:2 23:14
pursuant 7:8	recover 7:21	25:13	24:21
pursue 8:23	recovered 23:8	response 25:19	
pushing 21:2	reduce 12:20	responses 25:4	seeing 18:23 20:24
put 11:9 12:21 15:7	reduced 11:8	responsibilities	seeking 7:9,21 12:17 14:2 26:5
15:13 21:23	reducing 13:1	23:9	seen 22:14
putting 12:23	reduction 12:22	responsibility 23:10	seen 22.14 sees 24:25
q	25:20	25:14	segregated 11:3,7
quarter 16:1	reductions 23:14,16	responsive 14:11	sense 19:23
question 11:16 13:6	reflect 12:22 25:19	result 10:5,20,25	separate 11:24
23:18	reflected 13:7	11:11 15:18 16:23	serchuk 4:8 29:16
questions 10:14	refund 12:15,18	21:10	services 16:19
11:21	regard 12:8,9,12,16	results 10:19 16:21	25:11
quick 10:11	13:5 16:5,12,17	19:10 21:15 22:3	set 18:24 22:24 24:2
quinn 19:15	17:2 23:23 24:1,2	return 12:5 21:7	settle 15:7 18:10
quite 18:14 24:22	25:3	review 23:11	19:6 22:4
-	regarding 10:23	reviewing 17:23	settlement 4:11
r	26:4	reviews 22:21,23	7:10 9:6,14,19,23
r 1:23 4:11 5:1,15	regards 18:4	rid 14:1	10:3,19 11:11,16,17
7:1 27:5 30:1			11:22,24 16:1,22
			11.22,27 10.1,22

24:7 27:5 sought 8:3 sum 11:6 25:10 told 22:20	
settlements 22:3 southern 1:2 summary 13:4 top 9:15 12:23	
15:1 21:20 2:20,24 3:2,5,11,14 sunshine 22:15 trailing 20:1	_
seventeenth 2:3 3:17,20,23 4:2,5,8 supports 10:18 transcribed 4:2	3
27:9 27:12,17,19,23 28:1 11:13 25:10 transcript 30:4	
seventh 24:14 28:5,9,12,19,22,24 supreme 25:2,3 transfer 11:19	
seward 5:24 29:3,7,10,13,17 sure 17:11 transferee 8:2	_
sheehan 5:14 12:3,4 spent 17:6,21 surge 13:17 transfers 7:22,2	
13:4 14:5,20 15:10 spizz 4:8 29:16 suspect 18:21 7:25 8:7,13 9:19	
22:12,19 25:1 26:8 stage 16:14 system 17:17 tremendous 11	11
sheehan's 23:25 stages 20:17 triaged 13:11	
24:6 stagnetto 3:14 trial 16:15	
show 23:5 28:21 takes 22:5 triay 3:14 28:21	
side 14:8 24:12,12 standard 22:24 talk 21:17 tried 21:18,19	
24:24 standing 20:24 talking 21:5 true 18:4 21:18	
significant 15:3 stargatt 2:23 28:4 tax 13:18 30:4	
16:6 19:10 started 16:9 17:10 tax 13.18 truman 2:10 27	:16
significantly 16:21 statement 15:13 taylor 2.23 3.3 28.3 trumpet 24:5	
similar 20:20 23:16 states 1:1 19:16 telephonically 6:6 trust 19:18	
24:20 statistics 14:21 telephonically 0.0 trustee 2:3,7,11	,14
sink 24:18 statute 17:11 term 25:6 2:17,21,24 3:2,	5,12
$\pm \sin \theta = 22.24$ $\pm \cot \theta = 20.15$ 18.21.24 A	:2,6
sipc 8:25 10:18 stays 20:10 terms 9:8 17:25 4:9,12 5:10 7:7 18:11 4:9,12 5:10 7:7	10
11·10/13/22·13/20 etoody 13·21):22
22:21 23:3,5 25:2 steal 24:5 testimony 8:10 10:23 12:4,6 16	:20
25:10,24,25 26:2 stear 24.5 thank 12:1 22:11,12 10:25 12:4,0	:11
single 23:4.21.25:12 stream 13:22 25:10,1/20:7,8 27:6.0.13.17.20	,24
cituation 15:10 street 5:4.18 tning 18:4	,22
24-13 strength 21-20 things 12:11 13:5 28-25 20-3 7 10	*
civ 13:13 14:6 24 strong 21:22 17:7,19 18:1 21:2 20:17	,
15:2.6.25:8 strongly 25:10 think 10:12.12:24 trustoo's 7:8.10	
givtoonth 2.2.2.2.15 gtwont 1.24	
slowed 20:23 subject 25:20 19:721:13 22:2,18 trustees 14:0	
small 12:13 submissions 22:8 thought 12:21 17:4 try 14:1 20:15	
cmb 1:3 cubmit 0:23 11:24 1/:/ 18:1/ 21:25 22:5	
solicitor 14:13 25:7 26:5 thousands 14:23 two 12:11 13:12	12
solicitors 20:7 subordinate 8:5 three 13:22 23:12	*
solutions 30:20 subsequent 7:24 thunder 21:5 24:6	
somehody 21:16 substantial 16:2.24 tim /:13	
somewhat 21:21 subway 10:0 time 4:14 9:4 11:2 type 18:2 22:25	
sonva 4:25 30:3 8 successes 15:21	
soon 22:15 23:5 successful 13:15	
sorokar 2:13 27:10 sued 10:15 21:3,3 22:17,21 u.k. 17:19 20:13	3
sort 18:21 10:25 suing 10:17 23:17,19 24:4,25 u.s. 1:16,25	
20:23 suite 5:19 30:22 today 12:10 13:6 uggc 3:11 28:18	
20:23 suite 5:19 30:22 14:5,6 17:4 21:8 ultimate 21:6	
22:18	

ultimately 21:19	working 16:8 17:22	
understandings	world 12:9	
25:8	X	
united 1:1 19:2,16	x 1:4,8,14 27:1	
utilizing 19:6		
V	y 14.7	
v 1:11	yale 14:7	
vacate 18:19	yeah 11:4	
variety 17:6	year 13:12,12,13,18 13:20 14:18,19,24	
various 15:19	15:2,6 16:23 17:10	
veritext 30:20	22:17 24:14	
vigano 3:17 28:24	yesterday 11:4	
vizcaya 18:14 19:3	21:11 24:2	
W		
wags 21:16	yesterday's 11:8 24:15	
wags 21:16 waiting 20:24	york 1:2,18 5:5,12	
wanting 20.24 want 11:15 13:21	6:2	
19:4 25:17	young 2:23 16:25	
wanted 12:19 15:15	28:4	
17:11 18:19	20.4	
wants 10:15		
washington 5:20		
washing on 3.20 watching 21:1		
watching 21.1 way 15:1		
we've 12:7 13:9,10		
13:11 14:25,25 15:1		
15:20 16:21 19:15		
19:22 21:21,23		
wealth 17:17		
week 13:22		
weitman 6:4		
went 21:3		
werder 3:17 28:24		
wessing 3:5 28:12		
west 5:4		
williams 3:1 28:8		
windels 3:8 5:3		
16:17 23:12 28:15		
winners 24:13		
winning 22:2		
withdrew 7:18		
wolter 4:1 29:9		
work 12:9 15:18,24		
16:1,6,16 20:6,19		
worked 15:19 24:7		